

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJAEES_117923
Title of the Manuscript:	Identifying the Factors of Export Competitiveness for Agricultural Products
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes. Little addition to the title should be considered. Example <Identifying the Factors of Export Competitiveness for Agricultural Products: the case of India> Yes Yes Yes Yes	Thank you very much for your constructive suggestions. According to the reviewer's suggestion, the changes have been highlighted in the text and marked in yellow colour in the revised version of the manuscript. Here, we would like to give a manuscript entitled "Identifying the Factors of Export Competitiveness for Agricultural Products ". Because the identified factors can be used in any country's agri-product competitiveness analysis. Hence, the Authors are requesting to the editors and reviewers please accept the current title, which will cover the broader perspective and large audience on the agri-product's competitiveness analysis by the identified factors.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Minor corrections needed. Please, see the comments in the manuscript word file.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	Thank you very much to the editorial committee and reviewers who spent their valuable time reviewing this article and suggesting their opinions to make it more enriched and impactful. We have tried our best to address all the comments raised by the reviewers. we have carefully addressed your suggestions and made the corresponding revisions in the revised version; we hope you will be satisfied with the revisions.